IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 274 (SS) of 2007

Dhirendra Kumar,
Son of Sri Vikram Singh,
R/o Village Bhogpur, Tehsil Laksar,
District Haridwar. **……..Petitioner.**

Versus

1. State of Uttarakhand through its Secretary Education, Dehradun
2. Director Vidyalayi Shiksha, Mayur Vihar, Dehradun.
3. Additional Director Education Garhwal Mandal, Pauri Garhwal.
4. District Education Officer, Haridwar.
5. Jai Narayan Mishra, Khand Shiksha Adhikari, Laksar, District Haridwar.
6. Smt. Rachana, W/o Sandeep Singh, R/o Simli Laksar, District Haridwar.
7. Smt. Arti Judiwal, W/o Gyan Chandra, R/o Niranjanpur, Laksar, District Haridwar.
8. Km. Monika Saini, D/o Isam Singh, R/o Bhurani, Khatirpur, Laksar, District Haridwar.

……..Respondents

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Shri Ajay Veer Pundir, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri N.P. Shah, learned Standing Counsel State of Uttarakhand for the respondent nos. 1, 2, 3 and 4.

By means of this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

i. issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Certiorari quashing the appointments of the respondents no. 6, 7 and 8 on the post of Shiksha Mitra in Village – Bhagput, Laksar, District – Haridwar.

ii. Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to appoint the petitioner on the post of Shiksha Mitra in the Village - Bhogpur, Laksar, District – Haridwar.

iii. Issue any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case be passed in favour of the petitioner.

iv. Cost of petition be awarded in favour of the petitioner.

The submission of the petitioner is that respondent nos. 5, 6, 7 and 8 have wrongly been appointed by the respondents as Shiksha Mitra in their respective schools. He has already raised his grievances before District Education Officer, Haridwar by making representation dated 15.04.2006, which is Annexure 5 to the writ petition.

During the course of argument, learned counsel for the petitioner has confined his prayer that writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 4 i.e. District Education Officer, Haridwar to take early decision on the aforesaid representation of the petitioner.

The prayer is innocuous. Accordingly, I direct the District Education Officer, Haridwar to take decision on the representation of the petitioner dated 15.04.2006 (Annexure no. 5 to the writ petition) within a period of three weeks from the date of production of a certified copy of this order.

With this direction, the writ petition as well as stay application no. nil of 2007 is finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

29.03.2007 **(M.M. Ghildiyal, J.)**

VKS